

Concurrent Systems

Exercise 04 – Deadlocks

Stefan Reif

2019-12-19



■ Deadlocks

- Situation where resource requests can never be fulfilled [1, 2]
- Multiple requests depend on each other
 - “depend on” → delay
 - Wanted: “worst-case blocking time” [3]

■ Livelock

- Threads hold processor while waiting
- Hard to detect for the operating system

■ Strategies

- Prevention
- Detection
- Crash



■ Mutual exclusion

- Write lock-free code
- ...

■ Iterative resource requests

- Atomic multi-resource requests
- Use only a single resource?
- ...

■ No preemption

- Temporarily de-allocate resources (e.g. during resource request)
- Virtualisation
- ...



- Re-allocation is allowed for the owner
 - Nested critical sections can be hidden
 - Function calls
 - Interfaces
 - ...
 - Recursive functions
 - Interrupt transparency?
 - The critical section must tolerate interrupts
 - The interrupt handler must tolerate surrounding critical sections
 - Other solutions are often better suited
- De-allocation becomes more complex
 - Nested leave operations must keep the mutex
 - Top-level leave operation releases the mutex



Global Mutex ordering

- “lower” mutex must be acquired first
 - Requires resource ranking function
 - Problems with condition variables, `join()` function, ...
- No cyclic waiting
 - Holder of m_1 waits on $m_2 \Rightarrow rank(m_1) < rank(m_2)$
 - Waiting-for graph is directed and acyclic
- Requires thread cooperation
 - Detection of ordering violations is possible ...
 - ... but how to handle such a situation?
 - Applications can deadlock if any unchecked allocation exists
- Under-approximation of allowed resource allocations
 - Applications can be deadlock-free despite ordering violations



- Deadlock \Rightarrow cycle in waiting-for graph
 - Such a cycle can be detected
 - Algorithm for cycle detection in graphs?
- Explicitly create the waiting-for graph
 - Bookkeeping overhead (memory, time, energy, ...)
 - Overhead even in best-case scenario
- Occasionally search for cycles
 - Too often \rightarrow unnecessary overhead
 - Not often enough \rightarrow Deadlock potentially not detected



Assignment 4

- Improve your LWT library
 - This assignment focuses on mutexes
- Implement recursive mutexes
 - Use a counter for nesting depth
- Implement ordered mutexes
 - Check every mutex acquisition
 - Abort the process in the case of an invalid request
- Implement deadlock detection
 - Check all failed mutex acquisition requests
 - Abort the process in the case of a deadlock



Reference List I

- [1] COFFMAN, E. G. ; ELPHICK, M. ; SHOSHANI, A. :
System Deadlocks.
In: *ACM Computer Survey* 3 (1971), Nr. 2, S. 67–78
- [2] HOLT, R. C.:
Some Deadlock Properties of Computer Systems.
In: *ACM Computer Survey* 4 (1972), Nr. 3, S. 179–196
- [3] WARD, B. C. ; ANDERSON, J. H.:
Supporting Nested Locking in Multiprocessor Real-Time Systems.
In: *Proceedings of the 24th Euromicro Conference on Real-Time Systems (ECRS)*,
2012, S. 223–232

